

From: Beverly Freeman <bevsvine@pacbell.net>
Sent time: 11/08/2018 10:30:10 AM
To: Cheryl Gaskill <c.gaskill@argylecivic.org>; mindy.nguyen@lacity.org
Monique Alaniz <moniquemalaniz@gmail.com>; Stephen Krawchuk <stephenkrawchuk@hotmail.com>; Gary Steinberg <Gary@garysteinberg.com>;
Cc: Robert Krzeski <rmkinla@aol.com>; David Gadd <david@uglyangel.net>; Ishmael Arredondo <argylecivic@att.net>; Brenda Mattox <blackwatercircus@gmail.com>; Emily Ferri <eferry1@aol.com>
Subject: RE: EIR Question

This is an excellent letter, Cheryl. Thank you so much for pursuing this essential point.

Bev

From: Cheryl Gaskill [mailto:c.gaskill@argylecivic.org]
Sent: Wednesday, November 07, 2018 5:35 PM
To: mindy.nguyen@lacity.org
Cc: Beverly Freeman; Monique Alaniz; Stephen Krawchuk; Gary Steinberg; Robert Krzeski; David Gadd; Ishmael Arredondo; Brenda Mattox; Emily Ferri
Subject: EIR Question

Hi Mindy:

I have a question and Elva has kindly referred me to you. Hoping you can help:

Re: Millennium study(ENV-2018-2116-EIR)

What traffic study methodology will be used in the EIR relative to the 101 freeway and on/off ramps? We were assuming you would be following CalTrans' advisement per the 2011 "Millennium Hollywood" development (their previous letters are attached here for specifics). There are rumors that these may be disregarded in favor of city-level methodology. Can you please let us know what the intention is for the DEIR, and if there is any reason why you would not include CalTrans' preferred methodology for freeway-related traffic issues?

Thank you kindly for your time and advice,

Cheryl